

1 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT &
2 JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FILED
2017 AUG 21 P 3:31

4
5 IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER
6 REGARDING DISMISSALS OF JUSTICE
7 COURT CASES UPON INDICTMENT IN
8 DISTRICT COURT

JUSTICE COURT
LAS VEGAS NEVADA
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
BY _____ DEPUTY
ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER # 17-10

LAS VEGAS JUSTICE COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER # 17-02

9
10
11
12 **WHEREAS**, during an open judges' meeting on March 2, 2011, the judges of the Las
13 Vegas Justice Court approved a procedure whereby upon an indictment return in District Court,
14 the District Court would dismiss the related Justice Court case if the DA so agreed; an
15 administrative process was then implemented whereby the District Court had one point of
16 contact in Justice Court who would process the Justice Court dismissals administratively,
17 without the matter coming back on calendar in Justice Court; and

18 **WHEREAS**, the Chief Judges of the Eighth Judicial District Court (hereinafter "District
19 Court") and the Las Vegas Justice Court (hereinafter "Justice Court") have determined that the
20 above process should continue, with certain modifications, because:

- 21
- 22 (1) The process ensures the efficient dismissal of Justice Court cases in which the
23 defendant has been indicted in District Court, so that a defendant is not held in custody
24 on two separate criminal cases for the same charge(s);
 - 25 (2) The process fosters judicial economy because the Justice Court cases that are
26 administratively dismissed by the District Court do not need to come on calendar in
27 Justice Court for redundant recordation of the dismissal; and
 - 28 (3) The process assists the Clark County Detention Center in that it eliminates the need
for unnecessary transports of in-custody defendants to dismissal hearings, which
conserves law-enforcement resources and alleviates potential security risks, especially
when defendants are being housed in outlying facilities.